

Appendix 3

Additional Information for January 2003 through March 2005

Medicaid Reimbursement and Claims by State, Ranked by Average Claim Per Medicaid-Eligible Child, State Fiscal Year

State	SFY 2001-2002 ^(A) * (Numbers in thousands.)		
	Federal Medicaid Reimbursement * (000's)	Total Claims * (000's)	Average Claim Per Medicaid Eligible Child ^(B)
RHODE ISLAND	\$ 22,626	\$ 43, 675	\$ 720
MICHIGAN ^(C)	192,973	367,644	673
MASSACHUSETTS	95,100	190,200	565
NEW HAMPSHIRE	11,129	22,258	510
KANSAS	29,984	51,088	490
ALASKA	11,982	23,964	478
MARYLAND	56,824	113,648	417
DELAWARE	8,262	16,524	384
CONNECTICUT	30,000	60,000	379
NEW JERSEY ^(C)	56,641	113,282	367
UTAH	12,805	21,334	345
WISCONSIN	37,000	64,050	290
ARIZONA ^(C)	36,744	65,696	240
MINNESOTA	19,800	39,600	182
PENNSYLVANIA	51,024	95,623	169
WEST VIRGINIA	14,745	19,753	167
FLORIDA	66,000	130,633	159
ALABAMA ^(C)	15,472	30,859	138
IOWA	8,170	14,552	131
COLORADO	7,515	15,030	113
OHIO	39,446	67,108	112
SOUTH CAROLINA	23,235	34,210	103
NEBRASKA ^(C)	4,940	9,880	103
NORTH CAROLINA ^(C)	20,604	40,328	90
VERMONT	2,673	4,239	86
CALIFORNIA	82,174	160,650	60
NEW MEXICO ^(C)	6,804	10,254	56
GEORGIA	14,201	25,188	55
IDAHO	2,609	3,674	54
SOUTH DAKOTA	938	1,423	33
MONTANA ^(C)	766	1,052	31
VIRGINIA	1,757	3,415	14
INDIANA	2,468	3,978	13
KENTUCKY ^(C)	1,797	2,569	10
MISSISSIPPI	107	141	1
ARKANSAS	5	7	0
HAWAII ^(D)	-	-	-
TENNESSEE ^(D)	-	-	-
WYOMING ^(D)	-	-	-

State	SFY 2002 - 2003 ^(A) * (Numbers in thousands.)			SFY 2003 - 2004 ^(A) * (Numbers in thousands.)		
	Federal Medicaid Reimbursement * (000's)	Total Claims * (000's)	Average Claim Per Medicaid Eligible Child ^(B)	Federal Medicaid Reimbursement * (000's)	Total Claims * (000's)	Average Claim Per Medicaid Eligible Child ^(B)
RHODE ISLAND	\$ 26,600	\$ 49,311	\$ 720	\$ 27,759	\$ 49,350	\$ 721
KANSAS	32,836	55,613	514	42,411	68,780	636
NEW HAMPSHIRE	12,894	25,788	548	15,380	29,046	617
DELAWARE	9,957	19,914	427	10,360	19,566	419
MARYLAND	63,983	127,966	439	64,562	121,930	419
MINNESOTA	35,065	70,130	299	39,063	76,275	325
PENNSYLVANIA	76,660	143,115	238	91,880	163,730	272
CONNECTICUT	20,000	40,000	238	21,000	39,660	236
WEST VIRGINIA	16,712	22,412	181	21,843	28,131	227
NEBRASKA	11,709	22,035	214	11,625	22,147	215
CALIFORNIA	103,593	207,186	71	298,593	587,055	202
UTAH	13,216	21,988	314	8,585	11,497	164
SOUTH CAROLINA	35,463	56,223	164	33,816	50,968	149
FLORIDA	64,301	126,526	139	55,339	108,489	119
OHIO	53,409	90,785	141	47,588	76,533	119
COLORADO	11,166	22,332	159	8,841	16,697	119
GEORGIA	13,310	23,683	46	29,857	53,067	102
IDAHO	3,463	4,880	61	5,969	8,076	101
IOWA	12,200	20,446	166	7,609	12,391	101
VIRGINIA	3,996	7,932	30	12,660	24,823	95
NEW MEXICO	2,772	3,718	20	6,683	8,590	47
ARKANSAS	6,209	8,359	35	5,375	6,925	29
OKLAHOMA	5,654	8,013	29	4,453	6,058	22
INDIANA	3,367	5,433	16	3,629	5,560	16
SOUTH DAKOTA	825	1,264	27	395	576	12
KENTUCKY	1,797	2,571	9	1,963	2,688	10
MISSISSIPPI	584	762	3	1,142	1,895	7
MASSACHUSETTS ^(C)	101,300	202,600	564	-	-	-
ALASKA	13,007	26,014	492	-	-	-
MICHIGAN ^(C)	142,711	270,822	461	-	-	-
WISCONSIN ^(C)	34,400	59,740	245	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA ^(C)	25,790	50,523	111	-	-	-
VERMONT ^(C)	1,812	2,904	58	-	-	-
MONTANA ^(C)	1,460	2,001	56	-	-	-
HAWAII ^(D)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE ^(D)	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING ^(D)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes:

(A) For comparative purposes, amounts for health and administrative services are included in federal Medicaid reimbursement and total claims. Federal payment disallowances resulting from completed or ongoing OIG audits may not be reflected in these amounts.

(B) Calculated as total claims divided by the number of Medicaid-eligible children aged 6-20 in FFY 2001-02, FFY 2000-01, or FFY 1999-00. (Source: Medicaid Program Statistics, CMS, <http://cms.hhs.gov/medicaid/msis/mstats.asp>)

(C) Federal reimbursement for this state's health services program and/or administrative claiming program was not available.

(D) This state did not have a school-based Medicaid health services program or administrative claiming program during SFY 2001-02, SFY 2002-03 or SFY 2003-04.

Summary of Significant Recommendations Made to CDHS and Actions Taken/To Be Taken by CDHS

Recommendation	Action Taken
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enter into a contract for the LEA Rate Study. • Provide training to LEAs in completing cost and time surveys for the Rate Study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CDHS entered into a contract to conduct the Rate Study, which began during the fall of 2001. • Cost and time survey training was provided to LEAs between May and November of 2002. Additional assistance completing the cost and time surveys, including on-site visits and conference calls, was provided between Summer 2002 and Spring 2003.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement LEA Rate Study recommendations related to assessments conducted to determine a student's eligibility for services under IDEA¹ and treatment services. • Revise state regulations to expand the provider types that are authorized to prescribe, refer, and recommend services, as appropriate. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Rate Study was completed during Spring 2003. A SPA was submitted to CMS in June 2003 to update existing rates for treatments and to add rates for assessments conducted to determine a student's eligibility for services under IDEA. • In 2004, CDHS, in collaboration with the LEA Workgroup, expended considerable time and effort to respond to issues raised by CMS. The first SPA was re-submitted in January 2004 and again in December 2004. Major revisions included adding CPE requirements (discussed in Section III) and updating rates for non-IDEA assessments. The SPA was eventually approved by CMS in March 2005. • CDHS prepared a System Design Notice which contains instructions regarding changes in the claims processing system to implement LEA Rate Study recommendations. These changes include conversion to new national billing codes required by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act. • A proposed regulation package will be prepared. CDHS will propose revisions to state regulations that are required to implement LEA Rate Study recommendations and are consistent with the state plan, federal law and regulations, and state law.

¹ Schools are mandated by the IDEA to provide appropriate educational services to all children with disabilities. School-based health services reimbursed by the LEA Program are primarily provided to students with disabilities receiving special education services through an IEP or IFSP. The LEA Program also provides reimbursement for health services, such as nursing care, rendered to general education students.

Recommendation	Action Taken
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Automate the data match system that verifies student eligibility for Medi-Cal. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CDHS modified the data match system during 2003 to accept and return encrypted data match files directly to LEAs. This replaced the previous process of transferring files on diskettes through the mail and reduced the data match time substantially. Further improvements to the data match system will be made in the future.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modify the requirement that group psychology and counseling treatments last a minimum of 90 minutes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SPA decreases the minimum time to 15 minutes for group psychology and counseling treatments.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revise re-enrollment requirements, which require LEA providers to re-enroll every five years. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CDHS eliminated the re-enrollment requirements for LEA providers in April 2002.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide training related to billing and supervision of credentialed language, speech and hearing specialists. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training related to these topics was provided to LEAs in November 2003.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop and maintain an interactive website. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The LEA website was re-designed to be more interactive and comprehensive. Conversion of the website to the California standard template began in November 2003 and was completed in March 2004. Maintenance activities in 2004 included posting updated copies of Provider Enrollment forms, 2003-04 Provider Annual Report forms, and claims expenditure reports. Future changes to the website will include a provider resource page and expansion of the links to the revised LEA Provider Manual. Additional time will be spent to update the website based on recommendations for changes from the LEA Workgroup.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Re-write the sections of the Medi-Cal Provider Manual related to the LEA Program (LEA Provider Manual) to improve the organization and content of the information. Research utilization controls related to LEAs and beneficiaries. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Work on these recommendations started during the summer of 2003. CDHS continued work on the re-organization and content revision of the LEA Provider Manual in 2004. Changes to the LEA Provider Manual and utilization controls will incorporate requirements related to SPA 03-024. Utilization controls, provider qualifications, and numerous other topics were researched to support proposed changes. Work on the LEA Provider Manual continued in 2005.

Recommendation	Action Taken
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish equivalency for credentialed speech-language pathologists. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CDHS, in collaboration with the CCTC, established that the educational and work requirements for credentialed speech-language pathologists with clinical or rehabilitative services credentials were equivalent to federal standards. CDHS submitted a SPA in 2005 to remove supervision requirements for these practitioners.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve communications regarding policy issues (to the extent allowed by Executive Order S-2-03) and status of SB 231 implementation with LEA providers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CDHS sent a letter to LEA providers in June 2004 regarding the status of SB 231 implementation and federal Free Care and OHC requirements. LEA providers were sent a questionnaire to solicit feedback regarding potential new services to be considered for the LEA Program. The mailing also notified providers of the LEA Program website address. CDHS prepared LEA Workgroup Meeting Summaries and started to post them on the website to inform LEA providers of items discussed during the meetings.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update the statewide LEA provider contact list. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The statewide LEA provider contact list was updated with addresses and contact names from training sessions held in late 2003 to prepare the mailing of the potential new services questionnaire. This list will be further updated with information, including E-mail addresses, from completed questionnaires and 2004 Provider Annual Reports.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update valid Medi-Cal eligibility codes for the LEA Program to include foster children, adopted children, and other eligible populations in the data match system. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CDHS identified valid eligibility codes for the LEA Program. Changes to the Medi-Cal Eligibility Data Systems files used in data match processing was completed in early 2005. Further improvements to the data match system will be discussed with Information Technology Services Division in 2005.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Post results of the insurance carrier survey on the LEA website. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CDHS completed an insurance carrier survey in 2004 to determine if carriers provide coverage for LEA Program services. Preliminary results were distributed to the LEA Workgroup in June 2004. Final results were posted on the LEA Program website.

Recommendation	Action Taken
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide quarterly status reports describing how SB 231 funds are used. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The contractor that assists CDHS in implementing the provisions of SB 231 prepares monthly status reports of actual and projected activities. CDHS distributed the monthly status reports for the first nine months of 2004 to the LEA Workgroup. Subsequent reports will be provided at the LEA Workgroup meetings on a periodic basis.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide an annual or semi-annual regional meeting with LEAs and vendors to reinforce best practices. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CDHS will provide training to providers and vendors.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fund a full-time equivalent position to be filled by LEAs performing work on SB 231 activities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CDHS held a meeting with the LEA Workgroup to discuss the requirements of this position as well as other options in 2005.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish a hotline to answer questions regarding billing policies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The state's fiscal intermediary, Electronic Data Systems (EDS), has a hotline to answer billing questions from LEA providers and billing vendors. EDS also provides on-site training to providers, as requested.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submit SPAs and subsequent updates to CMS on a timely basis. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CDHS will continue to work towards submission of future SPAs within a reasonable time frame. However, the CMS approval process is lengthy, particularly in this period of federal budget deficits. CDHS cannot offer any assurance that future SPAs will move more quickly or smoothly.